Pupil Premium Strategy Statement:

Hartington C of E Primary School 2019/2020



1. Summary Information

Academic Year:	Total PP budget:	Number of pupils eligible for	Date of most recent PP	Date for next PP strategy review:
2019-2020	£6260 (3 pupils)	PP:	Review:	August 2020
	(2 pupils) Lump sum £14,000	5 reduced to 3 in Jan	September 2019	

2. Current Attainment information cannot be published because it relates to three pupils and would therefore be identifiable. This information is held confidentially in school.

Both statutory assessments and our internal assessments show disadvantaged children making good attainment and progress. This information is part of our selfevaluation but because of our small cohorts and given the inevitable variability of progress it is only part of our monitoring process. We focus on individual disadvantaged children, and at present these children are found to be making at least as good progress as non-disadvantaged children with similar starting points. This is monitored through the schools tracking system.

3. Barri	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)						
In-sch	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school)						
Α.	Some disadvantaged premium children have low prior attainment, in some cases resulting from gaps in schooling						
В.	Specific additional needs including those being supported as SEN						
С.	Weaknesses in learning behaviours, e.g. lack of independence, self regulation or resilience.						
D.	D. Social, emotional and behavioural problems affecting wellbeing and progress						
Extern	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school)						
E. N	None identified at present but this is constantly reviewed						

4.	4. Outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured)		Success Criteria
	Α.	At least good progress / attainment.	All disadvantaged children, whatever their prior attainment, make at least expected progress, with some of those whose attainment is below age related expectations starting to catch up and close the gap with their cohort.
	В.	Additional needs are supported effectively	Children with additional needs are supported effectively through the school's SEND practice, with recognition of and support for any additional factors that children in receipt of Pupil Premium funding face. Additional funding / advice / support and assessment is applied for or sought.

С.	Improved learning behaviours	Improvements in the learning behaviours demonstrated by targeted pupil premium children are evident	
		through pupil interviews and reports from class teachers, SENTA's, parents, carers and facilitators fro	
		outside agencies. Purchase of specific agencies and the development of personalised programmes.	
D.	Good progress in PSED.	Improvements in the overcoming barriers for specific children including reduced incidence of behavioural	
		problems, increased participation in class, reduction in friendship/ social issues, increased social integration.	
		This is further supported by the schools peer buddying programme, House Teams to support and model	
		positive behaviour and reward system, taking part in the Anti-Bullying Week and the development of a PSED	
		Curriculum adhering to the new guidelines.	

5. Planned Expenditure: academic year 2019/2020: £6260 + £14,000 (Full time TA x2 cost for LAC pupils x2)

The headings below outline how pupil premium funding is being used to improve classroom pedagogy for all pupils and to provide targeted support for whole school strategies. Where possible targeted support for pupils is outlined but where this would identify an individual pupil/s this information is held in school rather than being published.

I. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Evidence and rationale for this approach	Monitoring and evaluation strategies to be used to ensure effective implementation	Staff Lead	Review of implementation
At least good progress	Continue to provide	We have seen already	Ongoing tracking of progress through	Head (SLT)	Half Termly (6 times
	additional TA support	that this targeted TA/	age related targets and outcomes. (In	KS2 Teacher	per year)
	in KS1 classroom during	teacher support, for	line with expected, emerging, and		
	the week to support	example extra focussed	exceeding levels).	SENTA / SENCO	
	the delivery of literacy	reading and spelling,	Through bespoke programmes for		
	numeracy. (Normal	additional phonics	individual pupils progress is monitored		
	hours 5 per week -	programmes,	in relation to attainment and the		
	£2538) + FT TA support	behavioural/social	pupils being able to access a		
	x 5 days per week for	programmes), has a	differentiated curriculum at their		
	two pupils to fast track	direct impact on the	present academic level.		
	curriculum content.	results and progress of			
	(£14,000)	specific children.			
	Additional time is to be		Comparison of results when a scribe is		
	given to KS2 class to	In numeracy scribes	used and when one is not – evidence		
	support 'closing' of gap	have been organised to	readiness for future SATS exams.		
	in literacy. Additional	support the children			
	support in numeracy –	focusing on the	To follow the numeracy scheme of		
	is to also be provided	understanding of	work and include tasks, challenge,		
	throughout the week to	concepts, strategies	mastery and activities from the White		

	lessen group sizes but is	and problem solving	Rose Scheme of Work in upper KS2.		
	to be monitored and is	rather than just on the			
	dependent upon need,	recording.	To track and monitor the impact on		
	pupil progress and		learning and progress through the		
	attainment. (5 hours		addition of additional TA support		
	per week - £2538		within literacy and numeracy.		
Additional needs are	Identify CPD for staff	Improving staff	Track progress of these children	Head, Teaching	Termly – monitoring
supported effectively	within behaviour	knowledge and skillset	through the schools assessment and	staff (SLT)	of children through
	support and SEND	will have immediate	tracking system which is linked to age		school's marking
	specialisms linked to	impact in the	expected expectations.	SENTA / SENCO	system
	specific children's area	classroom, enabling	Provision of Gold Package for the		Tracking of individual
	of need i.e. dyslexia	them to further	Educational Psychology Service	Nurture Group/	pupils through the
	training, Attachment	support from an SEND	(Additional reports and assessments	Positive Support	schools internal
	Disorder Training,	and behaviour	are likely if GRIPS/EHCP are applied	Programme	system 'Cornerstones
	autism training, the	perspectives. Multiple	for and the cost will be included	facilitator	
	development of	barriers to learning	within the Gold Package).		
	keyboard skills, Positive	faced by some PP			
	Play, development of	children have a	To develop and monitor pupil specific		
	Nurture Group etc.	cumulative effect on	programmes linked to the Nurture and		
	(Whole school budget)	progress and wellbeing	Positive Play Programme content for		
	SEND training and	but benefit from	individual pupils including the		
	updates for SENCO as	working within	provision of evidence for GRIPS		
	identified by the LA and	targeted groups	Applications (to include SSSEN		
	as required by	including individual and	Support).		
	individual pupil need.	whole school ensuring			
	Writing of GRIPS	full inclusion in all areas	The impact made on individual pupils		
	Applications, and	of the curriculum and	being involved in Nurture and Positive		
	referrals to outside	ensuring full inclusion.	Support Programmes progress		
	agencies.	This also supports	reported via base line and exit		
		empathy between	assessment data.		
		disadvantaged children			
		and their peers and			
		works towards the			
		development of social			
		and emotional well-			
		being of all targeted			
		children.			
Total budget cost: £626	0 + £14,000				

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Evidence and rationale for this approach	Monitoring and evaluation strategies to be used to ensure effective implementation	Staff Lead	Review of implementation
Improved learning behaviours and	Focus on positive behaviour reward	Pupil tracking meetings have highlighted some	Observations and pupil tracking meetings, children's work and their	Head (SLT) Teaching staff	Termly meetings – Staff meetings
attitudes	sticker system	pupils for whom poor	evaluations of activities/tasks		School Council
attituues	alongside focus on	learning behaviours are	undertaken individually and in groups	Nurture Group/	Governor Meetings
Accessibility to a	children's engagement	preventing progress	ie: 'What have I learnt?' – 'Everyone	Positive Support	dovernor weetings
differentiated	in and responsibility for	(e.g. children lack	has a voice'	Programme	
curriculum	their own learning, by	independence or are		facilitator	
curriculum	self and peer	easily distracted or	The continual promotion of the Peer	Tacilitator	
Development of an	assessment. This is to	disruptive)	Buddying Programme / embedding	School Partners eg;	
individual learning	be facilitated by	The development of	House teams / school council	Music Partnership,	
pathway	teachers in KS1 & KS2.	mental and physical	meetings.	DCFC, Youth Sports	
patriway	The reviewing and	wellbeing of	meetings.	Trust (AAA)	
Development of social,	continual moderation	disadvantaged pupils.	Monitoring of pupil behaviour,	TTUSE (AAA)	
emotional, wellbeing	of the House team	uisauvantageu pupiis.	relationships and		
and friendship groups	system in place within	For pupils to refer to	interactions/exchanges between each		
and menusing groups	the school which allows	previous experiences in	other is to take place throughout the		
	for the development of	an attempt to regulate	school by all staff members. Impact of		
	SMSC. –Link to the	their own behavioural	the programmes is to be recorded		
	School Council and	patterns through	through base line and exit		
	Pupil Voice	referencing social story	assessments. The evidence will be		
		experiences, the	collated through observations, school		
	Application for	modelling of	council meetings, pupil voice,		
	Behaviour Support	appropriate behaviour	Collective Worship, partner of the		
	Services / delegation of	and outcomes.	school and parents etc.		
	Early Help Offer	and outcomes.			
	Funding towards	It has been noted by	Reporting to Governing Body via		
	Nurture Groups and	staff and	reports.		
	positive support	parents/carers that due			
	programmes. To	to the small cohort	Evidenced against British Values,		
	implement Social Story	sizes there has been a	schools Christian values, Ethos and		
	Training and a	deterioration of	Vision statement.		
	dedicated time frame	behaviour within			
	for the delivery of	friendship groups. This			
	devised programmes.	is impacting on pupil's			

The closing of gaps in learning for targeted pupils and to access work to support attainment of targets in age year groups thereby reaching age related expectations	This is to be linked with school Improvement Plan and initiatives to ensure programmes are put in place are self- sustaining by both staff and children. Provision of differentiated curriculum and additional support where needed / the introduction of specific programmes to support learning and independent access to the curriculum content. Relevant and appropriate assessment to be undertaken then subsequent advice / programmes to be delivered. TA - 2 children 25 hours each per week to fast track. TA - 1 children 15 hours per week as part of transition.	attitude to each other, school work and outside facilitators. This is being rolled out to the whole school community. Children's attainment is fast tracked and the gap between the years baseline and projected targets to be achieved. Small cohorts and learning groups. This will support a closing of the gap in their learning and begin to bring progress and attainment in line with their peers. The development of children's resilience to their learning and the beginning of setting own targets and the creation of a more positive attitude to both success and failure.	Observations and pupil tracking meetings, children's work and their evaluations of activities/tasks undertaken individually and in groups. Assessments undertaken via outside agencies and strategies implemented. Application for GRIPS for one child – reports from Educational Psychologist (£400). Referral to Behaviour Support to support this application. SENCo to attend GRIPS application panel meeting to promote a better understanding of the GRIP application content requirement. The implementation and development of the Positive Play Programme through staff training and development which includes the purchase of resources and creation of an appropriate space within the school.	Head (SLT) Class teachers and Teaching Assistants, SLT	Termly meetings to upload progress and attainment. To monitor progress through assessment and tracking meetings within key stages via curriculum, assessment and staff meetings. Children accessing individual programmes and being able to transfer skills from the programme into the classroom.
Total budget cost: £6260 III. Other approache					
Desired outcome	Chosen	Evidence and rationale	Monitoring and evaluation strategies	Staff Lead	Review of
	action/approach	for this approach	to be used to ensure effective implementation		implementation
Good progress in PSED	Support children to	Some children would	Level of participation in extracurricular	Head (SLT)	Termly – minimum of

attend residential	not be able to access	activities, wraparound care and	Governing Body	6 times per year
programmes,	these events due to	educational visits.		
educational visits and	financial hardship		SENTA / SENCO	Monitor funding and
sporting events on and	which would further	Development of specialised		impact of additional
off site as well as	impact on social,	programmes for individual pupils.		support through
attending Breakfast	mental health and			school's monitoring
Club/ After School	friendship issues. In	Pupil feedback -		process.
Clubs. The payment for	some circumstances,	School Council including named		
out of school events	extra 1:1 support needs	governors to report to Governing		
and trips Costings.	to be provided during	Body		
	these events to ensure			
	full inclusion and access			
	to the curriculum at the			
	appropriate level			
Total budget cost: £1000				

6. Review of expenditure

Previous academic year: 2018/2019

I. Quality of teaching for all

to raise attainment and

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact	Lessons Learned	Cost
Improved learning behaviours	Specific programmes of CPD for staff to help support targeted children to access specific programmes to meet their needs e.g. behavioural and social development. (Including the continual development of a peer buddy system / house team system). Bronze Package – Educational Psychologist Assessment for targeted children in support of future GRIPS Funding.	Targeted children and whole school benefited from the direct specific training of staff through outside agencies. However, widely these strategies helped staff to deal with all types of behaviour across the school Advice and support delivered including assessment and reports for school and parents.	Worthwhile investment, learned strategies have helped with many children in application of taught strategies throughout the school- linked to house points, buddy systems, inclusion within projects i.e. Active across Ages and the Ambassador Projects and the content of curriculum. Advice for staff regarding possible funding applications and teaching support in class.	£400
II. Targeted support Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact	Lessons Learned	Cost
Additional needs are supported effectively	Part time 1-1 TA support given to 2 children for numeracy. (2.5hours per child per week) Part time 1-3 TA Support given to 2 children in literacy. (2.5 hours per child per week)	All children have identified targets monitored through the tracking system to ensure appropriate progress is made	This information is available in school via the assessment system In summary – this was a worthwhile investment	£2500
At least good progress	Early intervention strategies, supporting small group work	Targeted children have good progress (one made accelerated	Worthwhile investment	£2500 TA Support

progress in literacy and numeracy

III. Other approaches	improve progress through advice from training, outside agencies etc. additional teacher support. Provision of resources ie: lap top.	and is now achieved age expected attainment) One child has also made accelerated progress in numeracy and achieved expected levels	Worthwhile investment	£1250 (Numeracy specialist £200		
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact	Lessons Learned	Cost		
Good progress in PSED	Financial support given for children to attend residential programmes, extracurricular activities and events on and off site. Additional T.A. or teacher support is provided when required to ensure equal opportunities and no pupil is excluded from taking part.	Children are able to fully participate in all school activities and are not disadvantaged in any way. Some children who need 1:1 support for offsite and specific on site activities are able to access these too and additional TA / teacher support is purchased to ensure appropriate support to ensure individuals can access the curriculum at their level.	Worthwhile investment, parent feedback was very positive	£2176.24		
7. Additional Detail						
Budgeted for T.A. support in li	teracy 5 hours in Class 2 plus 2. liver of literacy and numeracy fo	ours in Class 2 and 5 hours in Class 1 5 T.A. hours for SPAG lessons per week or targeted pupils in Class 1 (10 hours p		urriculum areas when		
Purchasing of specific resource	es to support learning – APPS, ty	ping tuition, Bright Futures (IT), Music	partnership etc			
Purchased additional support in Class2 for the delivery of numeracy and booster classes plus KS2 to KS3 Transition programme via specialist teacher in Mathematics – 4 hours per week / reduced to 3 hours per week following SAT's (commencing in September. (Linked to SIP)						
Purchased Bronze Package from Educational Psychologist – CPD for all staff to be identified and attended linked to specific needs of children – Positive Play Programme, assessment and report writing – linked to GRIP'S funding application.						
Consideration for the re-application for small school contingency funding – minimum of £6,000						
Consideration for application (GRIPS funding or EHCP for one c	hild.				